

eCollections @ FIU Law Library



The digital institutional repository of FIU College of Law

eCollections

Achievements

- ◆ **Uploaded 563 Papers**
- ◆ **7,596 Downloads**
- ◆ **Archived FIU Law Review and hosted Symposia**
- ◆ **Uploaded 400 Special Collections Titles**
- ◆ **Reached scholars on every continent in the world**

What: An open-access digital publishing platform for FIU Law scholarship and Law Library special collections.

Where: Link through the Law Library website or go directly to:
<http://ecollections.law.fiu.edu>

Why: eCollections preserves and promotes the intellectual output of FIU Law, raising the visibility of faculty and student publications by making full text scholarly materials freely available on the web.

How: FIU Law Library's Digital Initiatives Center does all the work for you. We ensure your works are uploaded and disseminated; all we need is your CV.

Value: eCollections tracks statistics of views and downloads. Each document is given a unique persistent URL that will never suffer link rot. FIU Law faculty and students are provided this service by the FIU Law Library.

For more information and to include your works in our repository please


- ◆ Visit <http://eCollections.law.fiu.edu>
- ◆ Read our guide at <http://libguides.law.fiu.edu/eCollections>
- ◆ Email Lisa at lisdavis@fiu.edu and attach your current CV

SSRN and eCollections

It's not a competition, It's synergy

This graph represents the downloads of one article posted in the William & Mitchell College of Law repository, as reported by Simon Canick in the work cited below.

Graph key edited for clarity



Over Time: September 2009 - December 2013

Maximize Your Scholarly Impact

For example, comparing eCollections and FIU Law SSRN: Professor Moreno's 1997 work, *Whoever Fights Monsters Should See to it that in the Process He Does Not Become a Monster*, has 30 SSRN downloads since uploading in July 2009. In eCollections, this same work has been downloaded **70 times** since original upload four months ago in **October 2014**.

SSRN and institutional repositories such as eCollections attract different sets of readers. eCollections readers are not diverted SSRN readers, but a whole new and broader audience of scholars.¹

eCollections documents are more visible to search engines than SSRN documents.²

- ◆ Search engines find and index the full text of all eCollections documents and associated metadata (abstracts, keywords, etc.).
- ◆ Search engines can only access SSRN metadata, not the full text of the document.
- ◆ eCollections includes search engine optimization features not available in SSRN.

eCollections supports more formats than SSRN, including PowerPoint, sound clips, data sets, images, and audio and video files.

eCollections maximizes FIU Law's presence on the web, permanently archiving content and increasing the volume of FIU Law material available to search engines.

The same document in eCollections will be downloaded several times more than the identical document in SSRN, as the chart above illustrates.³

¹ James Donovan and Carol Watson, *Will an Institutional Repository Hurt My SSRN Ranking? Calming the Faculty Fear*, AALL Spectrum, April 2012, 12-13, at 12 available at <http://www.aallnet.org/mm/Publications/spectrum/Archives/Vol-16/No-6/institutional-repository.pdf>. See also Simon Canick, *Library Services for the Self-Interested Law School: Enhancing the Visibility of Faculty Scholarship*, 105 Law Library Journal 175-198, 198 (2013) available at <http://www.aallnet.org/mm/Publications/llj/LLJ-Archives/Vol-105/no-2/2013-8.pdf>.

² Donovan & Watson, at 13.

³ F. Tim Knight, *Don't Bring Me Down: SSRN and the Institutional Repository*, Slaw (Oct. 10, 2014) <http://www.slw.ca/2014/03/10/dont-bring-me-down-ssrn-and-the-institutional-repository/> (providing updated chart to reflect three year period). See also Canick, at 198.